

# Principal fibrations from noncommutative spheres

Giovanni Landi<sup>1</sup>, Walter van Suijlekom<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università di Trieste  
Via A. Valerio 12/b, I-34127 Trieste, Italy  
and INFN, Sezione di Napoli, Napoli, Italy  
`landi@univ.trieste.it`

<sup>2</sup> Scuola Internazionale Superiore di Studi Avanzati  
Via Beirut 2-4, I-34014 Trieste, Italy  
`wdvs1kom@sissa.it`

## Abstract

We construct noncommutative principal fibrations  $S_\theta^7 \rightarrow S_\theta^4$  which are deformations of the classical  $SU(2)$  Hopf fibration over the four sphere. We realize the non-commutative vector bundles associated to the irreducible representations of  $SU(2)$  as modules of coequivariant maps and construct corresponding projections. The index of Dirac operators with coefficients in the associated bundles is computed with the Connes-Moscovici local index formula. The algebra inclusion  $\mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(S_\theta^7)$  is an example of a not trivial quantum principal bundle.

# 1 Introduction

The ADHM construction [2, 3] of instantons in Yang-Mills theory has at its heart the theory of connections on principal and associated bundles. A central example is the basic  $SU(2)$ -instanton on  $S^4$  which is described by the well-known Hopf  $SU(2)$ -principal bundle  $S^7 \rightarrow S^4$  and connections thereon.

In this paper, we consider a noncommutative version of this Hopf fibration, in the framework of the isospectral deformations introduced in [11], while trying to understand the structure behind the noncommutative instanton bundle found there.

In Sect. 2 we will review the construction of  $\theta$ -deformed spheres where  $\theta$  is an anti-symmetric real-valued matrix. Apart from the noncommutative spheres  $S_\theta^m$ , we also introduce differential calculi  $\Omega(S_\theta^m)$  as quotients of the universal differential calculi. On the sphere  $S_\theta^m$  one constructs a noncommutative Riemannian spin geometry  $(C^\infty(S_\theta^m), D, \mathcal{H})$  in which the Dirac operator  $D$  is the classical one and  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(S^m, \mathcal{S})$  is the usual Hilbert space of spinors. Then the deformations are isospectral, as mentioned. Furthermore, one also constructs a Hodge star operator  $*_\theta$  acting on the differential calculus  $\Omega(S_\theta^m)$  which is most easily defined using the so-called splitting homomorphism [10].

In Sect. 3, we focus on two noncommutative spheres  $S_\theta^4$  and  $S_{\theta'}^7$  starting from the algebras  $\mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4)$  and  $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$  of polynomial functions on them. The latter algebra carries an action of the (classical) group  $SU(2)$  by automorphisms in such a way that its invariant elements are exactly the polynomials on  $S_\theta^4$ . The anti-symmetric  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $\theta$  is given by a single real number also denoted by  $\theta$ . On the other hand, the requirements that  $SU(2)$  acts by automorphisms and that  $S_\theta^4$  makes the algebra of invariant functions, give the matrix  $\theta'$  in terms of  $\theta$ . This yields a one-parameter family of noncommutative Hopf fibrations.

For each irreducible representation  $V^{(n)} := \text{Sym}^n(\mathbb{C}^2)$  of  $SU(2)$  we construct the non-commutative vector bundles  $E^{(n)}$  associated to the fibration  $S_{\theta'}^7 \rightarrow S_\theta^4$ . By dualizing the classical construction, these bundles are described by the module of coequivariant maps from  $\mathbb{C}^2$  to  $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$ . As expected, these modules are finitely generated projective and we construct explicitly the projections  $p_{(n)} \in M_{4^n}(\mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4))$  such that these modules are isomorphic to the image of  $p_{(n)}$  in  $\mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4)^{4^n}$ . Then, one defines connections  $\nabla = p_{(n)}d$  as maps from  $\Gamma(S_\theta^4, E^{(n)})$  to  $\Gamma(S_\theta^4, E^{(n)}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4)} \Omega^1(S_\theta^4)$ , where  $\Omega^*(S_\theta^4)$  is the quotient of the universal differential calculus mentioned above. The corresponding connection one-form  $A$  turns out to be valued in a representation of the Lie algebra  $su(2)$ .

By using the projection  $p_{(n)}$ , the Dirac operator with coefficients in the noncommutative vector bundles  $E^{(n)}$  is given by  $D_{p_{(n)}} := p_{(n)}Dp_{(n)}$ . In order to compute its index, we first show that the local index theorem of Connes and Moscovici [12] takes a very simple form in the case of isospectral deformations. Indeed, for these deformations and with any projection  $e$ , one finds,

$$\text{Ind } D_e = \underset{z=0}{\text{Res}} z^{-1} \text{Tr} \left( \gamma(e - \frac{1}{2}) |D|^{-2z} \right) + \sum_{k \geq 1} c_k \underset{z=0}{\text{Res}} \text{Tr} \left( \gamma(e - \frac{1}{2}) [D, e]^{2k} |D|^{-2(k+z)} \right) \quad (1)$$

with some proper coefficients  $c_k$ . When applied to the projections  $p_{(n)}$  on  $S_\theta^4$ , we obtain exactly as in the classical case,

$$\text{Ind } D_{p_{(n)}} = \frac{1}{6} n(n+1)(n+2). \quad (2)$$

Finally, in Sect. 5 we show that the fibration  $S_{\theta'}^7 \rightarrow S_{\theta}^4$  is a ‘not-trivial principal bundle with structure group  $SU(2)$ ’. This means that the inclusion  $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta}^4) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$  is a not-cleft Hopf-Galois extension [21, 28]; in fact, it is a principal extension [6]. On this extension, we find an explicit form of the (strong) connection which induces connections on the associated bundles  $E^{(n)}$  as maps from  $\Gamma(S_{\theta}^4, E^{(n)})$  to  $\Gamma(S_{\theta}^4, E^{(n)}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta}^4)} \Omega^1(\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta}^4))$ , where  $\Omega^*(\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta}^4))$  is the universal differential calculus on  $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta}^4)$ . We show that these connections coincide with the Grassmannian connections  $\nabla = p_{(n)}d$  on the quotient  $\Omega(S_{\theta}^4)$  of the universal differential calculus alluded to before.

## 2 Noncommutative spherical manifolds

In this section, we will recall the construction of the noncommutative spheres  $S_{\theta}^n$  as introduced in [11] and elaborated in [10]. Essentially, these  $\theta$ -deformations are a natural extension of the noncommutative torus (for a review see [29]) to (compact) Riemannian manifolds carrying an action of the  $n$ -torus  $\mathbb{T}^n$ . In this paper we will restrict only to the cases of planes and spheres.

For  $\lambda^{\mu\nu} = e^{2\pi i \theta_{\mu\nu}}$ , where  $\theta_{\mu\nu}$  is an anti-symmetric real-valued matrix, the algebra  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}_{\theta}^{2n})$  of polynomial functions on the noncommutative  $2n$ -plane is defined to be the unital  $*$ -algebra generated by  $2n$  elements  $z^{\mu}, \bar{z}^{\mu} (\mu = 1, \dots, n)$  with relations

$$z^{\mu} z^{\nu} = \lambda^{\mu\nu} z^{\nu} z^{\mu}; \quad \bar{z}^{\mu} z^{\nu} = \lambda^{\nu\mu} z^{\nu} \bar{z}^{\mu}; \quad \bar{z}^{\mu} \bar{z}^{\nu} = \lambda^{\mu\nu} \bar{z}^{\nu} \bar{z}^{\mu}, \quad (3)$$

The involution  $*$  is defined by putting  $z^{\mu*} = \bar{z}^{\mu}$ . For  $\theta = 0$  one recovers the commutative  $*$ -algebra of complex polynomial functions on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta}^{2n-1})$  be the  $*$ -quotient of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}_{\theta}^{2n})$  by the two-sided ideal generated by the central element  $\sum_{\mu} z^{\mu} \bar{z}^{\mu} - 1$ . We will denote the images of  $z^{\mu}$  under the quotient map again by  $z^{\mu}$ .

A key role in what follows is played by the action of the abelian group  $\mathbb{T}^n$  on  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}_{\theta}^{2n})$  by automorphisms. For  $s = (s_{\mu}) \in \mathbb{T}^n$ , the  $*$ -automorphism  $\sigma_s$  is defined on the generators by  $\sigma_s(z^{\mu}) = e^{2\pi i s_{\mu}} z^{\mu}$ . Clearly,  $s \mapsto \sigma_s$  is a group-homomorphism from  $\mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}_{\theta}^{2n}))$ . In the special case that  $\theta = 0$ , we see that  $\sigma$  is induced by a smooth action of  $\mathbb{T}^n$  on the manifold  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . Since the ideal generating  $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta}^{2n-1})$  is invariant under the action of  $\mathbb{T}^n$ ,  $\sigma$  induces a group-homomorphism from  $\mathbb{T}^n$  into the group of automorphisms on the quotient  $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta}^{2n-1})$  as well.

We continue by defining the unital  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}_{\theta}^{2n+1})$  of polynomial functions on the noncommutative  $(2n+1)$ -plane which is given by adjoining a central self-adjoint generator  $x$  to the algebra  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}_{\theta}^{2n})$ , i.e.  $x^* = x$  and  $xz^{\mu} = z^{\mu}x$  ( $\mu = 1, \dots, n$ ). The action of the group  $\mathbb{T}^n$  is extended trivially by  $\sigma_s(x) = x$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta}^{2n})$  be the  $*$ -quotient of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}_{\theta}^{2n+1})$  by the ideal generated by the central element  $\sum z^{\mu} \bar{z}^{\mu} + x^2 - 1$ . As before, we will denote the canonical images of  $z^{\mu}$  and  $x$  again by  $z^{\mu}$  and  $x$ , respectively. Since  $\mathbb{T}^n$  leaves this ideal invariant, it induces an action by  $*$ -automorphisms on the quotient  $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta}^{2n})$ .

**Example 1.** For  $n = 2$  we obtain the noncommutative sphere  $S_{\theta}^4$ , which was found in [11]. We adopt the notation used therein and let  $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta}^4)$  be generated by  $\alpha, \beta$  and a central  $x$  with  $x = x^*$  and relations

$$\alpha\beta = \lambda\beta\alpha, \quad \alpha\beta^* = \bar{\lambda}\beta^*\alpha, \quad \alpha\alpha^* = \alpha^*\alpha, \quad \beta\beta^* = \beta^*\beta, \quad (4)$$

together with the spherical relation  $\alpha\alpha^* + \beta\beta^* + x^2 = 1$ . Here  $\lambda = e^{2\pi i\theta}$  with  $\theta$  a real number.

We will now construct a differential calculus on  $\mathbb{R}_\theta^m$ . For  $m = 2n$ , the complex unital associative graded  $*$ -algebra  $\Omega(\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n})$  is generated by  $2n$  elements  $z^\mu, \bar{z}^\mu$  of degree 0 and  $2n$  elements  $dz^\mu, d\bar{z}^\mu$  of degree 1 with relations:

$$\begin{aligned} dz^\mu dz^\nu + \lambda^{\mu\nu} dz^\nu dz^\mu &= 0; & d\bar{z}^\mu dz^\nu + \lambda^{\nu\mu} dz^\nu d\bar{z}^\mu &= 0; & d\bar{z}^\mu d\bar{z}^\nu + \lambda^{\mu\nu} d\bar{z}^\nu d\bar{z}^\mu &= 0; \\ z^\mu dz^\nu &= \lambda^{\mu\nu} dz^\nu z^\mu; & \bar{z}^\mu dz^\nu &= \lambda^{\nu\mu} dz^\nu \bar{z}^\mu; & \bar{z}^\mu d\bar{z}^\nu &= \lambda^{\mu\nu} d\bar{z}^\nu \bar{z}^\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

There is a unique differential  $d$  on  $\Omega(\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n})$  such that  $d : z^\mu \mapsto dz^\mu$ . The involution  $\omega \mapsto \omega^*$  for  $\omega \in \Omega(\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n})$  is the graded extension of  $z^\mu \mapsto \bar{z}^\mu$ , i.e. it is such that  $(d\omega)^* = d\omega^*$  and  $(\omega_1 \omega_2)^* = (-1)^{p_1 p_2} \omega_2^* \omega_1^*$  for  $\omega_i \in \Omega^{p_i}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n})$ .

For  $m = 2n + 1$ , we adjoin to  $\Omega(\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n})$  one generator  $x$  of degree 0 and one generator  $dx$  of degree 1 such that

$$xdx = dxx; \quad x\omega = \omega x; \quad dx\omega = (-1)^{|\omega|} \omega dx. \quad (6)$$

We extend the differential  $d$  and the graded involution  $\omega \mapsto \omega^*$  of  $\Omega(\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n})$  to  $\Omega(\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n+1})$  by setting  $x^* = x$  and  $(dx)^* = dx$ , so that  $(dx)^* = dx$ .

The differential calculi  $\Omega(S_\theta^m)$  on the noncommutative spheres  $S_\theta^m$  are defined to be the quotients of  $\Omega(\mathbb{R}_\theta^{m+1})$  by the differential ideals generated by the central elements  $\sum_\mu z^\mu \bar{z}^\mu - 1$  and  $\sum z^\mu \bar{z}^\mu + x^2 - 1$ , for  $m = 2n - 1$  and  $m = 2n$  respectively.

The action of  $\mathbb{T}^n$  by  $*$ -automorphisms on  $\mathcal{A}(M_\theta)$  can be easily extended to the differential calculi  $\Omega(M_\theta)$ , for  $M = \mathbb{R}_\theta^m$  and  $M = S_\theta^m$ , by imposing  $\sigma_s \circ d = d \circ \sigma_s$ .

In [10], the so-called splitting homomorphism was introduced. For the cases  $M = \mathbb{R}^m$  or  $M = S^m$ , this map identifies  $\mathcal{A}(M_\theta)$  with a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}(M) \otimes \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}_\theta^n)$ , and this identification allows one to use techniques from commutative differential geometry on  $\mathcal{A}(M)$  and extend it to  $\mathcal{A}(M_\theta)$ . Let us recall the definition of the noncommutative  $n$ -torus  $\mathbb{T}_\theta^n$ . The unital  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}_\theta^n)$  of polynomial functions is generated by  $n$  unitary elements  $U^\mu$  with relations

$$U^\mu U^\nu = \lambda^{\mu\nu} U^\nu U^\mu, \quad (\mu, \nu = 1, \dots, n) \quad (7)$$

with  $\lambda^{\mu\nu} = e^{2\pi i \theta_{\mu\nu}}$  as before. There is a natural action of  $\mathbb{T}^n$  on  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}_\theta^n)$  by  $*$ -automorphisms given by  $\tau_s(U^\mu) = e^{2\pi i s_\mu} U^\mu$  with  $s = (s_\mu) \in \mathbb{T}^n$ . This allows one to define a diagonal action  $\sigma \times \tau^{-1}$  of  $\mathbb{T}^n$  on  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{T}_\theta^n) := \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^m) \otimes \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}_\theta^n)$  by  $s \mapsto \sigma_s \otimes \tau_{-s}$ . That is,  $s \mapsto (\sigma \times \tau^{-1})_s$  is a group-homomorphisms of  $\mathbb{T}^n$  into  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{T}_\theta^n))$ .

If  $z_{(0)}^\mu$  denote the classical coordinates of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  corresponding to  $z^\mu$  for  $\theta = 0$ , one defines the splitting homomorphism on the generators of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n})$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{st} : \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \otimes \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}_\theta^n); \\ z^\mu &\mapsto z_{(0)}^\mu \otimes U^\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

One checks that  $\text{st}$  induces an isomorphism between the algebra  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n})$  and the sub-algebra  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{T}_\theta^n)^{\sigma \times \tau^{-1}}$  of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{T}_\theta^n)$  consisting of fixed points of the previous diagonal action of  $\mathbb{T}^n$ . By setting  $\text{st}(x) = x_{(0)} \otimes 1$  the splitting homomorphism extends trivially to a map from  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n+1})$  to  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}) \otimes \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}_\theta^n)$ , giving an algebra isomorphism  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n+1}) \simeq \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1} \times \mathbb{T}_\theta^n)^{\sigma \times \tau^{-1}}$ .

Furthermore, the map  $\text{st}$  will pass to the quotient, for  $m = 2n, 2n + 1$ ,

$$\text{st} : \mathcal{A}(S_\theta^m) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(S^m) \otimes \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}_\theta^n) =: \mathcal{A}(S^m \times \mathbb{T}_\theta^n), \quad (9)$$

giving isomorphisms  $\mathcal{A}(S_\theta^m) \simeq \mathcal{A}(S^m \times \mathbb{T}_\theta^n)^{\sigma \times \tau^{-1}}$ .

The splitting homomorphism allows one to introduce algebras of smooth functions  $C^\infty(M_\theta)$ , for  $M = \mathbb{R}^m$  or  $M = S^m$ . They are defined to be the fixed point subalgebras of the diagonal action of  $\mathbb{T}^n$  on  $C^\infty(M) \widehat{\otimes} C^\infty(\mathbb{T}_\theta^n)$ . Here  $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}_\theta^n)$  is the nuclear Fréchet algebra of smooth functions on  $\mathbb{T}_\theta^n$  and  $\widehat{\otimes}$  denotes the completion of the tensor product in the projective tensor product topology (see [10] for more details).

The extension of the splitting homomorphism to the differential calculi yields isomorphisms  $\Omega(M_\theta) \simeq (\Omega(M) \otimes \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}_\theta^n))^{\sigma \otimes \tau^{-1}}$ , with  $M$  as above. This allows one to introduce a Hodge star operator on  $\Omega(M_\theta)$ . Let  $*$  be the Hodge star operator on  $\Omega(M)$  defined with a  $\sigma$ -invariant metric on  $M$ . The operator  $* \otimes \text{id}$  on  $\Omega(M) \otimes \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}_\theta^n)$  restricted to the fixed point subalgebra of the diagonal action, defines the Hodge star operator  $*_\theta$  on  $\Omega(M_\theta)$ . Using this operator, one defines a hermitian structure on  $\Omega(M_\theta)$  in the following way. If  $\omega, \eta \in \Omega^p(M_\theta)$ , then

$$\langle \omega, \eta \rangle := *_\theta(\overline{\omega} *_\theta \eta) \quad (10)$$

takes values in  $\mathcal{A}(M_\theta)$  and fulfills all properties of a hermitian structure on  $\Omega^p(M_\theta)$ .

Finally, for the Dirac operator one has the following construction. Suppose for convenience that  $M = S^m$  and equip  $S^m$  with a Riemannian metric such that  $\mathbb{T}^n$  acts isometrically (this is always possible, for instance by averaging). Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a spin bundle over the spin manifold  $S^m$  and  $D$  the Dirac operator on  $\Gamma^\infty(S^m, \mathcal{S})$ . The action of the group  $\mathbb{T}^n$  on  $S^m$  does not lift directly to the spinor bundle. Rather, there is a double cover  $\pi : \tilde{\mathbb{T}}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$  and a group-homomorphism  $\tilde{s} \rightarrow V_{\tilde{s}}$  of  $\tilde{\mathbb{T}}^n$  into  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{S})$  covering the action of  $\mathbb{T}^n$  on  $M$ :

$$V_{\tilde{s}}(f\psi) = \sigma_{\pi(s)}(f)V_{\tilde{s}}(\psi), \quad (11)$$

for  $f \in C^\infty(S^m)$  and  $\psi \in \Gamma^\infty(M, \mathcal{S})$ . It turns out that the proper notion of smooth sections  $\Gamma^\infty(S_\theta^m, \mathcal{S})$  of a spinor bundle on  $S_\theta^m$  is given by the subalgebra of  $\Gamma^\infty(S^m, \mathcal{S}) \widehat{\otimes} C^\infty(\mathbb{T}_{\theta/2}^n)$  made of elements which are invariant under the diagonal action  $V \times \tilde{\tau}^{-1}$  of  $\tilde{\mathbb{T}}^n$ . Here  $\tilde{s} \mapsto \tilde{\tau}_{\tilde{s}}$  is the canonical action of  $\tilde{\mathbb{T}}^n$  on  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}_{\theta/2}^n)$ . Since the Dirac operator  $D$  will commute with  $V_{\tilde{s}}$  one can restrict  $D \otimes \text{id}$  to the fixed point subalgebra  $\Gamma^\infty(S_\theta^m, \mathcal{S})$ .

Next, let  $L^2(S^m, \mathcal{S})$  be the space of square integrable spinors on  $S^m$  and let  $L^2(\mathbb{T}_{\theta/2}^n)$  be the completion of  $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}_{\theta/2}^n)$  in the norm  $f \mapsto \|f\| = \tau(f^* f)^{1/2}$ , with  $\tau$  the usual trace on  $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}_{\theta/2}^n)$ . The diagonal action  $V \times \tilde{\tau}^{-1}$  of  $\tilde{\mathbb{T}}^n$  extends to  $L^2(S^m, \mathcal{S}) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{T}_{\theta/2}^n)$  and defines  $L^2(S_\theta^m, \mathcal{S})$  to be the fixed point Hilbert subspace. If  $D$  also denotes the closure of the Dirac operator on  $L^2(S^m, \mathcal{S})$ , we denote the operator  $D \otimes \text{id}$  on  $L^2(S^m, \mathcal{S}) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{T}_{\theta/2}^n)$  when restricted to  $L^2(S_\theta^m, \mathcal{S})$  by  $D$ .

The triple  $(C^\infty(S_\theta^m), L^2(S_\theta^m, \mathcal{S}), D)$  satisfies all axioms of a noncommutative spin geometry (there is also a real structure  $J$ ). In fact, this construction on  $S_\theta^m$  can be generalized to any compact Riemannian spin manifold, carrying an isometrical action of  $\mathbb{T}^n$ . For more details, we refer to [11, 10].

### 3 Hopf fibration and associated bundles on $S_\theta^4$

We will now construct a  $\theta$ -deformation of the Hopf fibration  $SU(2) \rightarrow S^7 \rightarrow S^4$ . For convenience, the classical fibration is described in some detail in App. A. Firstly, we remind that while there is a  $\theta$ -deformation of the manifold  $S^3 \simeq SU(2)$ , to a sphere  $S_\theta^3$ , on the latter there is no compatible group structure so that there is no  $\theta$ -deformation of the group  $SU(2)$  [10]. Therefore, we must choose the matrix  $\theta'_{\mu\nu}$  in such a way that the noncommutative 7-sphere  $S_{\theta'}^7$  carries a classical  $SU(2)$  action, which in addition is such that the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$  consisting of  $SU(2)$ -invariant polynomials is exactly  $\mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4)$ . As expected, we will find that  $\theta'$  is expressed in terms of  $\theta$ . Then we construct the finitely generated projective modules  $\Gamma(S_\theta^4, E^{(n)})$ , associated to the irreducible representations  $V^{(n)}$  of  $SU(2)$  as the space of  $SU(2)$ -coequivariant maps from  $V^{(n)}$  to  $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$ . We will construct projections  $p_{(n)} \in \text{Mat}_{4^n}(\mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4))$  such that  $\Gamma(S_\theta^4, E^{(n)}) \simeq p_{(n)}(\mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4))^{4^n}$ . In the special case of the defining representation, we recover the basic instanton projection on the sphere  $S_\theta^4$  constructed in [11].

As mentioned, the interplay of the noncommutative spheres  $S_\theta^4$  and  $S_{\theta'}^7$  is in that  $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$  will be required to carry an action of  $SU(2)$  by automorphisms and this action is such that

$$\mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4) = \text{Inv}_{SU(2)}(\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)). \quad (12)$$

These requirements will restrict the values of  $\lambda'^{ij} = e^{2\pi i \theta'_{ij}}$  is such a manner that there is essentially only ‘one’ noncommutative 7-sphere such that the invariance condition (12) is satisfied, with a compatible right  $SU(2)$  action on  $S_{\theta'}^7$ . This action on the generators of  $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$  is simply defined by

$$\alpha_w : (z^1, z^2, z^3, z^4) \mapsto (z^1, z^2, z^3, z^4) \begin{pmatrix} w & 0 \\ 0 & w \end{pmatrix}, \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} w^1 & w^2 \\ -\bar{w}^2 & \bar{w}^1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

Here  $w^1$  and  $w^2$ , satisfying  $w^1\bar{w}^1 + w^2\bar{w}^2 = 1$ , are the coordinates on  $SU(2)$ . By imposing that the map  $w \mapsto \alpha_w$  embeds  $SU(2)$  in  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7))$  we find that  $\lambda'^{12} = \lambda'^{34} = 1$  and  $\lambda'^{14} = \lambda'^{23} = \lambda'^{24} = \lambda'^{13} =: \lambda'$ .

In terms of the splitting homomorphism, this means that we can identify  $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$  with a certain subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}(S^7 \times \mathbb{T}_{\theta'}^2)$  instead of  $\mathcal{A}(S^7 \times \mathbb{T}_{\theta'}^4)$ . In fact, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} z^1 &= z_{(0)}^1 \otimes u, & z^3 &= z_{(0)}^3 \otimes v, \\ z^2 &= z_{(0)}^2 \otimes u, & z^4 &= z_{(0)}^4 \otimes v, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

for two unitaries  $u, v$  satisfying  $uv = \lambda'vu$ , i.e. the generators of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}_{\theta'}^2)$ .

The subalgebra of  $SU(2)$ -invariant elements in  $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$  can be found in the following way. By using the splitting homomorphism, a general element  $a \in \mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$  can be written as a finite sum:  $a = \sum a_{(0)}^i \otimes u^i$  where  $a_{(0)}^i \in \mathcal{A}(S^7)$  and  $u^i \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}_{\theta'}^2)$ . Then, from the diagonal nature of the action of  $SU(2)$  on  $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$  and the above formulæ for  $z^1, \dots, z^4$  we have that  $\alpha_w(a) = \sum \alpha_w(a_{(0)}^i) \otimes u^i$ , encoding the fact that  $SU(2)$  essentially acts classically. But this means that any invariant polynomial  $a = \alpha_w(a)$  induces a classical invariant polynomial  $a_{(0)}$ . Hence, the subalgebra of  $SU(2)$ -invariant elements in  $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$  is completely determined by the classical subalgebra of  $SU(2)$ -invariant elements in  $\mathcal{A}(S^7)$ . From App. A we can conclude that

$$\text{Inv}_{SU(2)}(\mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4)) = \mathbb{C}[1, z^1\bar{z}^3 + z^2\bar{z}^4, -z^1z^4 + z^2z^3, z^1\bar{z}^1 + z^2\bar{z}^2] \quad (15)$$

modulo the relations in the algebra  $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$ . We identify

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= 2(z^1\bar{z}^3 + z^2\bar{z}^4), & \beta &= 2(-z^1z^4 + z^2z^3), \\ x &= z^1\bar{z}^1 + z^2\bar{z}^2 - z^3\bar{z}^3 - z^4\bar{z}^4,\end{aligned}\tag{16}$$

and compute that  $\alpha\alpha^* + \beta\beta^* + x^2 = 1$ . By imposing commutation rules  $\alpha\beta = \lambda\beta\alpha$  and  $\alpha\beta^* = \bar{\lambda}\beta^*\alpha$ , as in Example 1, we infer that  $\lambda'^{14} = \lambda'^{23} = \lambda'^{24} = \lambda'^{13} = \sqrt{\lambda} =: \mu$  on  $S_{\theta'}^7$ . We conclude that  $\text{Inv}_{SU(2)}(\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)) = \mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^4)$  for  $\lambda'^{ij} = e^{2\pi i \theta'_{ij}}$  of the following form:

$$\lambda'_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \mu & \mu \\ 1 & 1 & \mu & \mu \\ \bar{\mu} & \bar{\mu} & 1 & 1 \\ \bar{\mu} & \bar{\mu} & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mu = \sqrt{\lambda},\tag{17}$$

or equivalently

$$\theta'_{ij} = \frac{\theta}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.\tag{18}$$

There is a nice description of the instanton projection constructed in [11] in terms of ket-valued polynomials on  $S_{\theta'}^7$ . The latter are elements in the right  $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$ -module  $\mathcal{E} := \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7) =: \mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)^4$  with a hermitian structure given by  $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle = \sum_j \xi_j^* \eta_j$ . To any  $|\xi\rangle \in \mathcal{E}$  one associates its dual  $\langle \xi | \in \mathcal{E}^*$  by setting  $\langle \xi | (\eta) := \langle \xi, \eta \rangle$ ,  $\forall \eta \in \mathcal{E}$ .

Similarly to the classical case (see App. A), we define  $|\psi_1\rangle, |\psi_2\rangle \in \mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)^4$  by

$$|\psi_1\rangle = (z^1, -\bar{z}^2, z^3, -\bar{z}^4)^t, \quad |\psi_2\rangle = (z^2, \bar{z}^1, z^4, \bar{z}^3)^t,\tag{19}$$

with  $t$  denoting transposition. They satisfy  $\langle \psi_k | \psi_l \rangle = \delta_{kl}$ , so that the  $4 \times 4$ -matrix  $p = |\psi_1\rangle\langle\psi_1| + |\psi_2\rangle\langle\psi_2|$  is a projection,  $p^2 = p = p^*$ , with entries in  $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^4)$ . Indeed, let us introduce the matrix

$$u = (|\psi_1\rangle, |\psi_2\rangle) = \begin{pmatrix} z^1 & z^2 \\ -\bar{z}^2 & \bar{z}^1 \\ z^3 & z^4 \\ -\bar{z}^4 & \bar{z}^3 \end{pmatrix}.\tag{20}$$

Then  $u^*u = \mathbb{I}_2$  and  $p = uu^*$ . The action (13) becomes

$$\alpha_w(u) = uw,\tag{21}$$

from which the invariance of the entries of  $p$  follows at once. Explicitly one finds

$$p = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1+x & 0 & \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & 1+x & -\mu\beta^* & \bar{\mu}\alpha^* \\ \alpha^* & -\bar{\mu}\beta & 1-x & 0 \\ \beta^* & \mu\alpha & 0 & 1-x \end{pmatrix}.\tag{22}$$

The projection  $p$  is easily seen to be equivalent to the projection describing the instanton on  $S_{\theta'}^4$  constructed in [11]. Indeed, if one defines

$$|\tilde{\psi}_1\rangle = (z^1, -\mu\bar{z}^2, z^3, -\bar{z}^4)^t, \quad |\tilde{\psi}_2\rangle = (z^2, \mu\bar{z}^1, z^4, \bar{z}^3)^t,\tag{23}$$

one obtains exactly the projection obtained therein, that is,

$$\tilde{p} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1+x & 0 & \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & 1+x & -\lambda\beta^* & \alpha^* \\ \alpha^* & -\bar{\lambda}\beta & 1-x & 0 \\ \beta^* & \alpha & 0 & 1-x \end{pmatrix} \quad (24)$$

We will denote the image of  $p$  in  $\mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4)^4$  by  $\Gamma(S_\theta^4, E) = p\mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4)^4$  which is clearly a right  $\mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4)$ -module. Another description of the module  $\Gamma(S_\theta^4, E)$  comes from considering coequivariant maps from  $\mathbb{C}^2$  to  $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$  [16]. The defining left representation of  $SU(2)$  on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  is given by  $SU(2) \times \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2; (w, v) \mapsto w \cdot v$ . The collection  $\text{Hom}_{SU(2)}(\mathbb{C}^2, \mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7))$  of coequivariant maps, i.e. of maps  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$ , such that

$$\phi(w^{-1} \cdot v) = \alpha_w(\phi(v)), \quad (25)$$

is a right  $\mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4)$ -module (it is in fact also a left  $\mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4)$ -module).

Since  $SU(2)$  acts classically on  $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$ , one sees that the coequivariant maps are given on the canonical basis  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  by  $\phi(e_k) = \langle \psi_k | f \rangle$  for  $|f\rangle = |f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4\rangle^t$ , with  $f_i \in \mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4)$  (cf. App. A). We then have the following isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(S_\theta^4, E) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{SU(2)}(\mathbb{C}^2, \mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)) \\ \sigma = p|f\rangle &\leftrightarrow \phi : e_k \mapsto \langle \psi_k | f \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

More generally, one can define the right  $\mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4)$ -module  $\Gamma(S_\theta^4, E^{(n)})$  associated with any irreducible representation  $\rho_n : SU(2) \rightarrow GL(V^{(n)})$ , with  $V^{(n)} = \text{Sym}^n(\mathbb{C}^2)$ , for a positive integer  $n$ . The module of coequivariant maps  $\text{Hom}_{\rho_n}(V^{(n)}, \mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7))$  consists of maps  $\phi : V^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$  satisfying

$$\phi(\rho_n^{-1}(w) \cdot v) = \alpha_w(\phi(v)). \quad (27)$$

It is easy to see that these maps are of the form  $\phi_{(n)}(e_k) = \langle \phi_k | f \rangle$  on the basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{n+1}\}$  of  $V^{(n)}$  where now  $|f\rangle \in \mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4)^{4^n}$  and

$$|\phi_k\rangle = \frac{1}{a_k} |\psi_1\rangle^{\otimes(n-k+1)} \otimes_S |\psi_2\rangle^{\otimes(k-1)} \quad (k = 1, \dots, n+1), \quad (28)$$

with  $\otimes_S$  denoting symmetrization and  $a_k$  are suitable normalization constants. These vectors  $|\phi_k\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^{4^n} \otimes \mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7) =: \mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)^{4^n}$  are orthogonal (with the natural hermitian structure), and with  $a_k^2 = \binom{n}{k-1}$  they are also normalized. Then

$$p_{(n)} := |\phi_1\rangle\langle\phi_1| + |\phi_2\rangle\langle\phi_2| + \dots + |\phi_{n+1}\rangle\langle\phi_{n+1}| \in \text{Mat}_{4^n}(\mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4)) \quad (29)$$

defines a projection  $p^2 = p = p^*$ . That its entries are in  $\mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4)$  and not in  $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$  is easily seen. Indeed, much as it happens for the vector  $u$  in (21), for every  $i = 1, \dots, 4^n$ , the vector  $u_{(i)} = (|\phi_1\rangle_i, |\phi_2\rangle_i, \dots, |\phi_{n+1}\rangle_i)$  transforms under the action of  $SU(2)$  to the vector  $(|\phi_1\rangle_i, \dots, |\phi_{n+1}\rangle_i) \cdot \rho_{(n)}(w)$  so that each entry  $\sum_k |\phi_k\rangle_i \langle \phi_k|_j$  of  $p_{(n)}$  is  $SU(2)$ -invariant and hence an element in  $\mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4)$ . With this we proved the following.

**Proposition 2.** *The module of coequivariant maps  $\text{Hom}_{\rho_n}(V^{(n)}, \mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7))$  is isomorphic to  $\Gamma(S_{\theta}^4, E^{(n)}) := p_{(n)}(\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta}^4)^{4^n})$  (as right- $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta}^4)$  modules) with the isomorphism given explicitly by:*

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(S_{\theta}^4, E^{(n)}) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\rho_n}(V^{(n)}, \mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)) \\ \sigma_{(n)} = p_{(n)}|f\rangle &\leftrightarrow \phi_{(n)} : e_k \mapsto \langle \phi_k | f \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Using the splitting homomorphisms of the previous section, one can lift this whole construction to the smooth level. One proves that the  $C^\infty(S_{\theta}^4)$ -module  $\Gamma^\infty(S_{\theta}^4, E^{(n)})$  defined by  $p_{(n)}(C^\infty(S_{\theta}^4))^{4^n}$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Hom}_{\rho_n}(V^{(n)}, C^\infty(S_{\theta'}^7))$ .

With the projections  $p_{(n)}$  one associates (Grassmannian) connections on the modules  $\Gamma(S_{\theta}^4, E^{(n)})$  in a canonical way:

$$\nabla = p_{(n)} \circ d : \Gamma(S_{\theta}^4, E^{(n)}) \rightarrow \Gamma(S_{\theta}^4, E^{(n)}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta}^4)} \Omega^1(S_{\theta}^4) \quad (30)$$

where  $(\Omega^*(S_{\theta}^4), d)$  is the differential calculus defined in the previous section. An expression for these connections as acting on coequivariant maps can be obtained using the above isomorphism and results in:

$$\nabla(\phi)(e_k) = d(\phi(e_k)) + A_{kl}\phi(e_l) \quad (31)$$

where  $A_{kl} = \langle \phi_k | d\phi_l \rangle \in \Omega^1(S_{\theta'}^7)$ . The corresponding matrix  $A$  is called the connection one-form; it is clearly anti-hermitian, and it is valued in the derived representation space,  $\rho'_n : su(2) \rightarrow \text{End}(V^{(n)})$ , of the Lie algebra  $su(2)$ .

The case  $n = 1$  describes classically (i.e.  $\theta = 0$ ) the charge  $-1$  instanton [2]. An instanton is defined as a connection on  $\Gamma(S^4, E)$  with (anti-)selfdual curvature  $F$ , i.e.  $*F = \pm F$  with  $*$  the Hodge star operator. In physics, instantons are of importance since they are extrema of the Yang-Mills action. The equation of motion obtained from this action by a variational method is called the Yang-Mills equation  $[\nabla, *F] = 0$ . In the case that  $F$  is (anti-)selfdual, this equation of motion follows directly from the Bianchi identity  $[\nabla, F] = 0$ . There is no need to stress the huge importance of instantons (and in general Yang-Mills gauge theory) both in physics and in mathematics.

On the noncommutative sphere  $S_{\theta}^4$ , the curvature of the connection  $p \circ d$  constructed above satisfies the following anti-selfdual equation [10] (see also [1, 25])<sup>1</sup>:

$$*_\theta p(dp)^2 = -p(dp)^2. \quad (32)$$

In order to fully justify the name instanton, one should find a noncommutative analogue of the Yang-Mills action such that connections with an (anti-)selfdual curvature are its extrema. This will be discussed elsewhere [26].

## 4 Index of Dirac operators

We know from Sect. 2 that there is a structure of noncommutative spin geometry on the sphere  $S_{\theta}^4$  given by a ‘triple’  $(C^\infty(S_{\theta}^4), L^2(S_{\theta}^4, \mathcal{S}), D, \gamma)$  with  $\gamma = \gamma_5$  the grading. In this Section we shall compute explicitly the index of the Dirac operator with coefficients in the

---

<sup>1</sup>An early attempt to write self-duality equations in terms of projections was done in [15].

bundles  $E^{(n)}$ , that is the index of the operator of  $D_{p(n)} := p(n)(D \otimes \mathbb{I}_{4^n})p(n)$ . In order to do that, we will use the ('even dimensional' version of the) local index formula of Connes and Moscovici [12] which we shall briefly describe.

Suppose in general that  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D, \gamma)$  is an even  $p$ -summable spectral triple with discrete simple dimension spectrum. Let  $C_*(\mathcal{A})$  be the complex consisting of cycles over the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , that is in degree  $n$ ,  $C_n(\mathcal{A}) := \mathcal{A}^{\otimes(n+1)}$ . On this complex there are defined the Hochschild operator  $b : C_n(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(\mathcal{A})$  and the boundary operator  $B : C_n(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow C_{n+1}(\mathcal{A})$ , satisfying  $b^2 = 0, B^2 = 0, bB + Bb = 0$ ; thus  $(b + B)^2 = 0$ . From general homological theory, one defines a bicomplex  $CC_*(\mathcal{A})$  by  $CC_{(n,m)}(\mathcal{A}) := CC_{n-m}(\mathcal{A})$  in bi-degree  $(n, m)$ . Dually, one defines  $CC^*(\mathcal{A})$  as functionals on  $CC_*(\mathcal{A})$ , equipped with the dual Hochschild operator  $b$  and coboundary operator  $B$  (we refer to [9] and [27] for more details on this).

**Theorem 3 (Connes-Moscovici [12]).**

- (a) An even cocycle  $\phi^* = \sum_{k \geq 0} \phi^k$  in  $CC^*(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $(b + B)\phi^* = 0$ , defined by the following formulæ. For  $k = 0$ ,

$$\phi^0(a) := \text{Res}_{z=0} z^{-1} \text{Tr}(\gamma a|D|^{-2z}); \quad (33)$$

whereas for  $k \neq 0$

$$\phi^{2k}(a^0, \dots, a^{2k}) := \sum_{\alpha} c_{k,\alpha} \text{Res}_{z=0} \text{Tr}(\gamma a^0 [D, a^1]^{(\alpha_1)} \cdots [D, a^{2k}]^{(\alpha_{2k})} |D|^{-2(|\alpha|+k+z)}) \quad (34)$$

where

$$c_{k,\alpha} = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \Gamma(k + |\alpha|) (\alpha!(\alpha_1 + 1)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2) \cdots (\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{2k} + 2k))^{-1}$$

and  $T^{(j)}$  denotes the  $j$ 'th iteration of the derivation  $T \mapsto [D^2, T]$ .

- (b) For  $e \in K_0(\mathcal{A})$ , the Chern character  $\text{ch}_*(e) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \text{ch}_k(e)$  is the even cycle in  $CC_*(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $(b + B)\text{ch}_*(e) = 0$ , defined by the following formulæ. For  $k = 0$ ,

$$\text{ch}_0(e) := \text{Tr}(e); \quad (35)$$

whereas for  $k \neq 0$

$$\text{ch}_k(e) := (-1)^k \frac{(2k)!}{k!} \sum (e_{i_0 i_1} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{i_0 i_1}) \otimes e_{i_1 i_2} \otimes e_{i_1 i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_{2k} i_0}. \quad (36)$$

- (c) The index is given by the natural pairing between cycles and cocycles

$$\text{Ind } D_e = \langle \phi^*, \text{ch}_*(e) \rangle. \quad (37)$$

We concentrate on a compact Riemannian spin manifold  $M$  of even dimension carrying an isometric action of an  $n$ -torus. Set  $\mathcal{H} := L^2(M, \mathcal{S})$  and recall the grading on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  with respect to the action of  $\mathbb{T}^n$  [11]. An element  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  that is smooth for the action of  $\mathbb{T}^n$ , (i.e. such that the map  $\mathbb{T}^n \ni s \mapsto \alpha_s(T)$  with  $\alpha_s$  defined by  $\alpha_s(T) := U(s)TU(s)^{-1}$

is smooth for the norm topology) can be expanded as  $T = \sum T_r$  with  $r = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n)$  a multi-index, and with each  $T_r$  of homogeneous degree  $r$  under the action of  $\mathbb{T}^n$ , i.e.

$$\alpha_s(T_r) = e^{2\pi i (\sum_{\mu=1}^n r_\mu s_\mu)} T_r \quad (s \in \mathbb{T}^n).$$

Note that  $\alpha_s$  coincides on  $\pi(C^\infty(M)) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  with the automorphism  $\sigma_s$  defined in Sect. 2. Then, let  $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$  be the infinitesimal generators of the action of  $\mathbb{T}^n$  so that  $U(s) = \exp 2\pi i (\sum_{\mu=1}^n s_\mu p_\mu)$ . For  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  we define a twisted representation on  $\mathcal{H}$  by

$$L_\theta(T) := \sum_r T_r U(r_\mu \theta_{\mu 1}, \dots, r_\mu \theta_{\mu n}) = \sum_r T_r \exp \left\{ 2\pi i \sum_\mu r_\mu \theta_{\mu \nu} p_\nu \right\} \quad (38)$$

with  $\theta$  an  $n \times n$  anti-symmetric matrix. Since  $\mathbb{T}^n$  acts by isometries, each  $p_\mu$  commutes with  $D$  so that the latter is of degree 0 and  $L_\theta([D, a]) = [D, L_\theta(a)]$  for  $a \in C^\infty(M)$ . It was shown in [11] (to which we refer for more details) that  $(L_\theta(C^\infty(M)), \mathcal{H}, D)$  satisfies all axioms of a noncommutative spin geometry (there is also a grading  $\gamma = \gamma_5$  and a real structure  $J$ ). In fact, the algebra  $L_\theta(C^\infty(M))$  is isomorphic to the algebra  $C^\infty(M_\theta)$  of the previous section.

As a next step, we write the  $\phi^{2k}$  that define the local index formula in (34), by means of the twist  $L_\theta$ . Let  $f^0, \dots, f^{2k} \in C^\infty(M)$  and suppose that the operator  $f^0[D, f^1] \cdots [D, f^{2k}]$  is a homogeneous element of degree  $r$ . Then, as in (38)

$$L_\theta(f^0[D, f^1] \cdots [D, f^{2k}]) = f^0[D, f^1] \cdots [D, f^{2k}] U(r_\mu \theta_{\mu 1}, \dots, r_\mu \theta_{\mu n}). \quad (39)$$

Each term in the local index formula for  $(L_\theta(C^\infty(M)), \mathcal{H}, D)$  then takes the form

$$\text{Res}_{z=0} \text{Tr}(\gamma f^0[D, f^1]^{(\alpha_1)} \cdots [D, f^{2k}]^{(\alpha_{2k})} |D|^{-2(|\alpha|+k+z)} U(s))$$

for  $s_\nu = r_\mu \theta_{\mu \nu}$  so that  $s \in \mathbb{T}^n$ . The appearance of  $U(s)$  here, is a consequence of the close relation with the index formula for a  $\mathbb{T}^n$ -equivariant Dirac spectral triple on  $M$ . In [8], Chern and Hu considered an even dimensional compact spin manifold  $M$  on which a (connected compact) Lie group  $G$  acts by isometries. The equivariant Chern character was defined as an equivariant version of the JLO-cocycle, the latter being an element in equivariant entire cyclic cohomology. The essential point is that they obtained an explicit formula for the above residues. In the case of the previous  $\mathbb{T}^n$ -action on  $M$ , one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_{z=0} \text{Tr}(\gamma f^0[D, f^1]^{(\alpha_1)} \cdots [D, f^{2k}]^{(\alpha_{2k})} |D|^{-2(|\alpha|+k+z)} U(s)) \\ = \Gamma(|\alpha| + k) \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{|\alpha|+k} \text{Tr}(\gamma f^0[D, f^1]^{(\alpha_1)} \cdots [D, f^{2k}]^{(\alpha_{2k})} e^{-tD^2} U(s)) \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

for every  $s \in \mathbb{T}^n$ ; moreover, this limit vanishes when  $|\alpha| \neq 0$  (Thm 2 in [8]). Combining these results, we arrive at the following Lemma.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $(L_\theta(C^\infty(M)), \mathcal{H}, D)$  be the spectral triple defined above. Then all terms in  $\phi^*$  with  $|\alpha| \neq 0$  vanish and the local index formula takes the form:*

$$\phi^{2k}(a^0, \dots, a^{2k}) = c_k \text{Res}_{z=0} \text{Tr}(\gamma a^0[D, a^1] \cdots [D, a^{2k}] |D|^{-2(k+z)}) \quad (41)$$

where  $c_k = (k-1)!/(2k)!$ .

In our case of interest, the index of the Dirac operator on  $S_\theta^4$  with coefficients in some noncommutative vector bundle determined by  $e \in K_0(C(S_\theta^4))$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind } D_e = \langle \phi^*, \text{ch}_*(e) \rangle &= \underset{z=0}{\text{Res}} z^{-1} \text{Tr}(\gamma \pi_D(\text{ch}_0(e)) |D|^{-2z}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2!} \underset{z=0}{\text{Res}} \text{Tr}(\gamma \pi_D(\text{ch}_1(e)) |D|^{-2-2z}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4!} \underset{z=0}{\text{Res}} \text{Tr}(\gamma \pi_D(\text{ch}_2(e)) |D|^{-4-2z}) \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Here  $\pi_D$  is the representation of the universal differential calculus given by

$$\pi_D : \Omega_{\text{un}}^p(\mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4)) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), \quad a^0 \delta a^1 \cdots \delta a^p \mapsto a^0 [D, a^1] \cdots [D, a^p]. \quad (43)$$

Let us examine at which quotients of  $\Omega_{\text{un}}(\mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4))$  this representation  $\pi_D$  is well-defined. Unfortunately,  $\pi_D$  is not well-defined on the quotient  $\Omega(S_\theta^4)$  defined in the previous section. For example already  $[D, \alpha][D, \alpha] \neq 0$  whereas  $d\alpha d\alpha = 0$  in  $\Omega(S_\theta^4)$ . This was already noted in [10] and in fact

$$\Omega(S_\theta^4) \simeq \pi_D(\Omega_{\text{un}}(\mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4))) / \pi_D(\delta J_0) \quad (44)$$

where  $J_0 := \{\omega \in \Omega_{\text{un}}(\mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4)) | \pi_D(\omega) = 0\}$  are the so-called 'junk-forms' [9]. We will avoid a discussion on junk-forms and introduce instead a different quotient of  $\Omega_{\text{un}}(\mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4))$ . We define  $\Omega_D(S_\theta^4)$  to be  $\Omega_{\text{un}}(\mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4))$  modulo the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \delta \beta - \lambda(\delta \beta) \alpha &= 0, \quad (\delta \alpha) \beta - \lambda \beta \delta \alpha = 0, \\ \alpha \delta \beta^* - \bar{\lambda}(\delta \beta^*) \alpha &= 0, \quad (\delta \alpha^*) \beta - \bar{\lambda} \beta \delta \alpha^* = 0, \\ a \delta x - (\delta x) a &= 0, \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4), \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

avoiding the second order relations that define  $\Omega(S_\theta^4)$ . Using the splitting homomorphism one proves that the above relations are in the kernel of  $\pi_D$ , for instance,  $\alpha[D, \beta] - \lambda[D, \beta]\alpha = 0$  so that  $\pi_D$  is well-defined on  $\Omega_D(S_\theta^4)$ .

In App. B we compute the Chern characters as elements in  $\Omega_D(S_\theta^4)$ , which results in the following Lemma.

**Lemma 5.** *The following formulae hold for the images under  $\pi_D$  of the Chern characters of  $p_{(n)}$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_D(\text{ch}_0(p_{(n)})) &= n + 1; \\ \pi_D(\text{ch}_1(p_{(n)})) &= 0; \\ \pi_D(\text{ch}_2(p_{(n)})) &= \frac{1}{6} n(n+1)(n+2) \pi_D(\text{ch}_2(p_{(1)})); \end{aligned}$$

up to the coefficients  $\mu_k = (-1)^k \frac{(2k)!}{k!}$ . □

Combining this with the simple form of the index formula while taking the proper coefficients, we find that

$$\text{Ind } D_{p_{(n)}} = \frac{1}{4!} \frac{4!}{2!} \frac{1}{6} n(n+1)(n+2) \underset{z=0}{\text{Res}} \text{Tr}(\gamma \pi_D(\text{ch}_2(p_{(1)})) |D|^{-4-2z}) \quad (46)$$

where for the vanishing of the first term, we used the fact that  $\text{Ind } D = 0$ , since the first Pontrjagin class on  $S^4$  vanishes. Thm I.2 in [12] allows one to express the residue as a Dixmier trace. Combining this with  $\pi_D(\text{ch}_2(p_{(1)})) = 3\gamma$  (as computed in [11]), we obtain

$$3 \cdot \underset{z=0}{\text{Res}} \text{Tr}(|D|^{-4-2z}) = 6 \cdot \text{Tr}_{\omega}(|D|^{-4}) = 2$$

since the Dixmier trace of  $|D|^{-m}$  on the  $m$ -sphere equals  $8/m!$  (cf. for instance [17, 23]). This combines to give:

**Proposition 6.** *The index of the Dirac operator on  $S_\theta^4$  with coefficients in  $E^{(n)}$  is given by:*

$$\text{Ind } D_{p_{(n)}} = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(n+2).$$

□

Note that this coincides with the classical result.

## 5 The noncommutative principal bundle

In this section, we apply the general theory of Hopf-Galois extensions [21, 28] to the inclusion  $\mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$ . Such extensions can be understood as noncommutative principal bundles. We will first dualize the construction of the previous section, i.e. replace the action of  $SU(2)$  on  $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$  by a coaction of  $\mathcal{A}(SU(2))$ . Then, we will recall some definitions involving Hopf-Galois extensions and principality ([6]) of such extensions. We show that  $\mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$  is a not-cleft (i.e. not-trivial) principal Hopf-Galois extension and compare the connections on the associated bundles, induced from the strong connection, with the Grassmannian connection defined in Sect. 3.

The action of  $SU(2)$  on  $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$  by automorphisms can be easily dualized to a coaction  $\Delta_R : \mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7) \otimes \mathcal{A}(SU(2))$ , where now  $\mathcal{A}(SU(2))$  is the unital complex  $*$ -algebra generated by  $w^1, \bar{w}^1, w^2, \bar{w}^2$  with relation  $w^1\bar{w}^1 + w^2\bar{w}^2 = 1$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{A}(SU(2))$  is a Hopf algebra with comultiplication

$$\Delta : \begin{pmatrix} w^1 & w^2 \\ -\bar{w}^2 & \bar{w}^1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} w^1 & w^2 \\ -\bar{w}^2 & \bar{w}^1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} w^1 & w^2 \\ -\bar{w}^2 & \bar{w}^1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (47)$$

antipode  $S(w^1) = \bar{w}^1, S(w^2) = -w^2$  and counit  $\epsilon(w^1) = \epsilon(\bar{w}^1) = 1, \epsilon(w^2) = \epsilon(\bar{w}^2) = 0$ . The coaction of  $\mathcal{A}(SU(2))$  on  $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$  is given by

$$\Delta_R : (z^1, z^2, z^3, z^4) \mapsto (z^1, z^2, z^3, z^4) \otimes \begin{pmatrix} w^1 & w^2 & 0 & 0 \\ -\bar{w}^2 & \bar{w}^1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & w^1 & w^2 \\ 0 & 0 & -\bar{w}^2 & \bar{w}^1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (48)$$

The algebra of coinvariants in  $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$ , which consists of elements  $p \in \mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$  satisfying  $\Delta_R(p) = p \otimes 1$ , can be identified with  $\mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4)$  for the particular values of  $\theta'_{ij}$  found before, in the same way as in Sect. 3.

The associated modules  $\Gamma(S_\theta^4, E^{(n)})$  are described in the following way. Given an irreducible corepresentation of  $\mathcal{A}(SU(2))$ ,  $\rho_{(n)} : V^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(SU(2)) \otimes V^{(n)}$  with  $V^{(n)} =$

$\text{Sym}^n(\mathbb{C}^2)$ , we denote  $\rho_{(n)}(v) = v_{(0)} \otimes v_{(1)}$ . Then, the module of coequivariant maps  $\text{Hom}^{\rho_{(n)}}(V^{(n)}, \mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7))$  consists of maps  $\phi : V^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$  satisfying

$$\phi(v_{(1)}) \otimes S v_{(0)} = \Delta_R \phi(v); \quad v \in \mathbb{C}^2. \quad (49)$$

Again, such maps are  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear maps of the form  $\phi_{(n)}(e_k) = \langle \phi_k | f \rangle$  on the basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{n+1}\}$  of  $V^{(n)}$  in the notation of the previous section. Also, Proposition 2 above translates straightforwardly into the isomorphism  $\text{Hom}^{\rho_{(n)}}(V^{(n)}, \mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)) \simeq p_{(n)}(\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^4))^{4^n}$  for the projections defined in equation (29).

Before we proceed, recall that for an algebra  $P$  and a subalgebra  $B \subset P$ ,  $P \otimes_B P$  denotes the quotient of the tensor product  $P \otimes P$  by the ideal generated by expressions  $p \otimes bp' - pb \otimes p'$ , for  $p, p' \in P, b \in B$ .

**Definition 7.** Let  $H$  be a Hopf algebra and  $P$  a right  $H$ -comodule algebra, i.e. such that the coaction  $\Delta_R : P \rightarrow P \otimes H$  is an algebra map. Let the algebra of coinvariants be  $B := \text{Coinv}_{\Delta_R}(P) := \{p \in P : \Delta_R(p) = p \otimes 1\}$ . One says that  $B \hookrightarrow P$  is a **Hopf-Galois extension** if the canonical map

$$\chi : P \otimes_B P \rightarrow P \otimes H; \quad p' \otimes_B p \mapsto p' \Delta_R(p) = p' p_{(0)} \otimes p_{(1)} \quad (50)$$

is bijective.

We use Sweedler-like notation for the coaction:  $\Delta_R(p) = p_{(0)} \otimes p_{(1)}$ . The canonical map is left  $P$ -linear and right  $H$ -colinear and is a morphism (an isomorphism for Hopf-Galois extensions) of left  $P$ -modules and right  $H$ -comodules. It is also clear that  $P$  is both a left and a right  $B$ -module.

Classically, the notion of Hopf-Galois extension corresponds to freeness of the action of a Lie group  $G$  on a manifold  $P$ . Indeed, freeness can be translated into bijectivity of the map

$$\tilde{\chi} : P \times G \rightarrow P \times_G P, \quad (p, g) \mapsto (p, p \cdot g), \quad (51)$$

where  $P \times_G P$  denotes the fibred direct product consisting of elements  $(p, p')$  with the same image under the quotient map  $P \rightarrow P/G$ .

For a Hopf algebra  $H$  which is cosemisimple, surjectivity of the canonical map (50) implies its bijectivity [31]. Moreover, in order to prove surjectivity of  $\chi$ , it is enough to prove that for any generator  $h$  of  $H$ , the element  $1 \otimes h$  is in the image of the canonical map. Indeed, if  $\chi(g_k \otimes_B g'_k) = 1 \otimes g$  and  $\chi(h_l \otimes_B h'_l) = 1 \otimes h$  for  $g, h \in H$ , then  $\chi(g_k h_l \otimes_B h'_l g'_k) = g_k h_l \chi(1 \otimes_B h'_l g'_k) = 1 \otimes hg$ , using the fact that the canonical map restricted to  $1 \otimes_B P$  is a homomorphism. Extension to all of  $P \otimes_B P$  then follows from left  $P$ -linearity of  $\chi$ . It would also be easy to write down an explicit expression for the inverse of the canonical map. Indeed, one has  $\chi^{-1}(1 \otimes hg) = g_k h_l \otimes_B h'_l g'_k$  in the above notation so that the general form of the inverse follows again from left  $P$ -linearity.

**Proposition 8.** The inclusion  $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^4) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$  is a Hopf-Galois extension.

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{A}(SU(2))$  is cosemisimple, we can rely for a proof of this statement on the previous remarks. On the other hand, it is straightforward to check that in terms of the ket-valued polynomials defined in (19) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi\left(\sum_i \langle \psi_1 |_i \otimes_{\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^4)} | \psi_1 \rangle_i\right) &= 1 \otimes w^1; & \chi\left(\sum_i \langle \psi_1 |_i \otimes_{\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^4)} | \psi_2 \rangle_i\right) &= 1 \otimes w^2; \\ \chi\left(\sum_i \langle \psi_2 |_i \otimes_{\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^4)} | \psi_1 \rangle_i\right) &= -1 \otimes \bar{w}^2; & \chi\left(\sum_i \langle \psi_2 |_i \otimes_{\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^4)} | \psi_2 \rangle_i\right) &= 1 \otimes \bar{w}^1. \end{aligned}$$

□

In the definition of a principal bundle in differential geometry there is much more than the requirement of bijectivity of the canonical map. It turns out that our ‘structure group’ being  $H = \mathcal{A}(SU(2))$  which, besides being cosemisimple has also bijective antipode, all additional desired properties follows from the surjectivity of the canonical map which we have just established. We refer to [30, 6] for the full fledged theory while giving only the basic definitions that we shall need.

For our purposes, a better algebraic translation of the notion of a principal bundle is encoded in the requirement that the extension  $B \subset P$ , besides being Hopf-Galois, is also faithfully flat. We recall [22] that a right module  $P$  over a ring  $R$  is said to be **faithfully flat** if the functor  $P \otimes_R \cdot$  is exact and faithful on the category  ${}_R\mathcal{M}$  of left  $R$ -modules. Flatness means that the functor associates exact sequences of abelian groups to exact sequences of  $R$ -modules and the functor is faithful if it is injective on morphisms. Equivalently one could state that a right module  $P$  over a ring  $R$  is faithfully flat if a sequence  $M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M''$  in  ${}_R\mathcal{M}$  is exact if and only if  $P \otimes_R M' \rightarrow P \otimes_R M \rightarrow P \otimes_R M''$  is exact.

As mentioned, from the fact that  $H = \mathcal{A}(SU(2))$  is both cosemisimple and has also bijective antipode, the faithful flatness of  $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$  as a right (as well as left)  $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta}^4)$ -module follows from the surjectivity of the canonical map ([31], Th. I).

One says that a principal Hopf-Galois extension is **cleft** if there exists a (unital) convolution-invertible colinear map  $\phi : H \rightarrow P$ , called a **cleaving map** [13, 30]. Classically, this notion is close (although not equivalent) to triviality of a principal bundle [14]. In [7] (cf. [19]) it is shown that if a principal Hopf-Galois extension is cleft, its associated modules are trivial, i.e. isomorphic to the free module  $B^N$  for some  $N$ . In our case, we can conclude the following.

**Proposition 9.** *The Hopf-Galois extension  $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta}^4) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$  is not cleft.*

*Proof.* This is a simple consequence of the nontriviality of the Chern characters of the projection  $p_{(n)}$  as seen in Sect. 4. Indeed, this implies that the associated modules are nontrivial. □

Summing up what we have shown up to now, we have the following

**Theorem 10.** *The inclusion  $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta}^4) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$  is a not-cleft faithfully flat  $\mathcal{A}(SU(2))$ -Hopf-Galois extension.*

An important consequence is the existence of a so-called **strong connection** [18, 13]. In fact, the existence of such a connection could be used to give a more intuitive definition of ‘principality of an extension’ [6]. Let us first recall that if  $H$  is cosemisimple and has a bijective antipode, then a  $H$ -Hopf-Galois extension  $B \hookrightarrow P$  is **equivariantly projective**, that is, there exists a left  $B$ -linear right  $H$ -colinear splitting  $s : P \rightarrow B \otimes P$  of the multiplication map  $m : B \otimes P \rightarrow P$ ,  $m \circ s = \text{id}_P$  [30]. Such a map characterizes a strong connection.

**Definition 11.** *Let  $B \hookrightarrow P$  be a  $H$ -Hopf-Galois extension. A **strong connection one-form** is a map  $\omega : H \rightarrow \Omega_{\text{un}}^1 P$  satisfying*

$$1. \quad \bar{\chi} \circ \omega = 1 \otimes (\text{id} - \epsilon), \quad (\text{fundamental vector field condition})$$

2.  $\Delta_{\Omega_{\text{un}}^1(P)} \circ \omega = (\omega \otimes \text{id}) \circ \text{Ad}_R$ , *(right adjoint colinearity)*
3.  $\delta p - p_{(0)}\omega(p_{(1)}) \in (\Omega_{\text{un}}^1 B)P$ ,  $\forall p \in P$ , *(strongness condition)*.

Here  $\Delta_R : P \rightarrow P \otimes H$ ,  $\Delta_R(p) = p_{(0)} \otimes p_{(1)}$ , is extended to  $\Delta_{\Omega_{\text{un}}^1(P)}$  on  $\Omega_{\text{un}}^1 P \subset P \otimes P$  in a natural way by

$$\Delta_{\Omega_{\text{un}}^1(P)}(p' \otimes p) \mapsto p'_{(0)} \otimes p_{(0)} \otimes p'_{(1)}p_{(1)}, \quad (52)$$

and  $\text{Ad}_R(h) = h_{(2)} \otimes S(h_{(1)})h_{(3)}$  is the right adjoint coaction of  $H$ . Finally, the map  $\bar{\chi} : P \otimes P \rightarrow P \otimes H$  is defined like the canonical map as  $\bar{\chi}(p' \otimes p) = p'p_{(0)} \otimes p_{(1)}$ .

As shown in [6] (cf. [5, 20]), a strong connection can always be given by a map  $\ell : H \rightarrow P \otimes P$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(1) &= 1 \otimes 1, \\ \bar{\chi}(\ell(h)) &= 1 \otimes h, \\ (\ell \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta &= (\text{id} \otimes \Delta_R) \circ \ell, \\ (\text{id} \otimes \ell) \circ \Delta &= (\Delta_L \otimes \text{id}) \circ \ell, \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

where  $\Delta_L : P \rightarrow H \otimes P$ ,  $p \mapsto S^{-1}p_{(1)} \otimes p_{(0)}$ . Then, one defines the connection one-form by

$$\omega : h \mapsto \ell(h) - \epsilon(h)1 \otimes 1. \quad (54)$$

Indeed, if one writes  $\ell(h) = h^{\langle 1 \rangle} \otimes h^{\langle 2 \rangle}$  (summation understood) and applies  $\text{id} \otimes \epsilon$  to the second formula in (53), one has  $h^{\langle 1 \rangle}h^{\langle 2 \rangle} = \epsilon(h)$ . Therefore,

$$\omega(h) = h^{\langle 1 \rangle}\delta h^{\langle 2 \rangle} \quad (55)$$

where  $\delta : P \rightarrow \Omega_{\text{un}}^1 P$ ,  $p \mapsto 1 \otimes p - p \otimes 1$ . Equivariant projectivity of  $B \hookrightarrow P$  follows by taking as splitting of the multiplication the map  $s : P \rightarrow B \otimes P$ ,  $p \mapsto p_{(0)}\ell(p_{(1)})$ .

For later use, we prove the following Lemma, analogous to the strongness condition 3. above.

**Lemma 12.** *Let  $\omega$  be a strong connection one-form on a  $H$ -Hopf-Galois extension  $B \hookrightarrow P$  with the antipode of  $H$  invertible. Then*

$$\delta p + \omega(S^{-1}p_{(1)})p_{(0)} \in P\Omega_{\text{un}}^1 B, \quad \forall p \in P.$$

*Proof.* By writing  $\omega$  in terms of  $\ell$  it follows that  $\delta p + \omega(S^{-1}p_{(1)})p_{(0)}$  reduces to the expression  $-p \otimes 1 + l(S^{-1}p_{(1)})p_{(0)}$ . From the second property of  $\ell$  in (53), it follows that this expression is in the kernel of  $\bar{\chi}$ . Since  $\chi$  is an isomorphism,  $\delta p + \omega(S^{-1}p_{(1)})p_{(0)}$  is in the ideal generated by expressions of the form  $p \otimes bp' - pb \otimes p'$ . In other words, it is an element in  $P\Omega_{\text{un}}^1(B)P$ . Finally, it is not difficult to show that

$$(\text{id} \otimes \Delta_R)(\delta p + \omega(S^{-1}p_{(1)})p_{(0)}) = (\delta p + \omega(S^{-1}p_{(1)})p_{(0)}) \otimes 1$$

from which we conclude that  $\delta p + \omega(S^{-1}p_{(1)})p_{(0)}$  is in fact in  $P\Omega_{\text{un}}^1(B)$ .  $\square$

In our case, the existence of a strong connection follows from [30]. However, we will write an explicit expression in terms of the inverse of the canonical map. If we denote the latter when lifted to  $P \otimes P$  by  $\tau$  it follows that  $\ell(h) = \tau(1 \otimes h)$  satisfies the same

recursive relation found before for  $\chi^{-1}$  (proof of Proposition 8 above): if  $\ell(h) = h_l \otimes h'_l$  and  $\ell(g) = g_k \otimes g'_k$ , then

$$\ell(hg) = g_k h_l \otimes h'_l g'_k. \quad (56)$$

It turns out that in our case the map  $\ell : H \rightarrow P \otimes P$  defined in this way defines a strong connection.

**Proposition 13.** *On the Hopf-Galois extension  $\mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$ , the following formulæ on the generators of  $\mathcal{A}(SU(2))$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(w^1) &= \sum_i \langle \psi_1 |_i \otimes |\psi_1\rangle_i; & \ell(w^2) &= \sum_i \langle \psi_1 |_i \otimes |\psi_2\rangle_i; \\ \ell(\bar{w}^2) &= -\sum_i \langle \psi_2 |_i \otimes |\psi_1\rangle_i; & \ell(\bar{w}^1) &= \sum_i \langle \psi_2 |_i \otimes |\psi_2\rangle_i. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

define a strong connection.

*Proof.* We extend the expressions (57) to all of  $\mathcal{A}(SU(2))$  by giving recursive relations, using formula (56). Recall the usual vector basis  $\{r^{klm} : k \in \mathbb{Z}, m, n \geq 0\}$  in  $\mathcal{A}(SU(2))$  given by

$$r^{klm} := \begin{cases} (-1)^n (w^1)^k (w^2)^m (\bar{w}^2)^n & k \geq 0, \\ (-1)^n (w^2)^m (\bar{w}^2)^n (\bar{w}^1)^{-k} & k < 0. \end{cases} \quad (58)$$

The recursive expressions on this basis are explicitly given by

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(r^{k+1,mn}) &= \bar{z}^1 \ell(r^{kmn}) z^1 + z^2 \ell(r^{kmn}) \bar{z}^2 + \bar{z}^3 \ell(r^{kmn}) z^3 + z^4 \ell(r^{kmn}) \bar{z}^4, & k \geq 0, \\ \ell(w^{k-1,mn}) &= \bar{z}^2 \ell(r^{kmn}) z^2 + z^1 \ell(r^{kmn}) \bar{z}^1 + \bar{z}^4 \ell(r^{kmn}) z^4 + z^3 \ell(r^{kmn}) \bar{z}^3, & k < 0, \\ \ell(w^{k,m+1,n}) &= \bar{z}^1 \ell(r^{kmn}) z^2 - z^2 \ell(r^{kmn}) \bar{z}^1 + \bar{z}^3 \ell(r^{kmn}) z^4 - z^4 \ell(r^{kmn}) \bar{z}^3, \\ \ell(w^{km,n+1}) &= \bar{z}^2 \ell(r^{kmn}) z^1 - z^1 \ell(r^{kmn}) \bar{z}^2 + \bar{z}^4 \ell(r^{kmn}) z^3 - z^3 \ell(r^{kmn}) \bar{z}^4, \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

while setting  $\ell(1) = 1 \otimes 1$ . In essentially the same manner as was done in [4] (although much simpler in our case) we prove that  $\ell$  defined by the above recursive relations indeed satisfies all conditions of a strong connection.  $\square$

The strong connection on the extension  $\mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$  induces connections on the associated modules in the following way [19]. For  $\phi \in \text{Hom}^{\rho(n)}(V^{(n)}, \mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7))$ , we set

$$\nabla_\omega(\phi)(v) \mapsto \delta\phi(v) + \omega(v_{(0)})\phi(v_{(1)}). \quad (60)$$

Using the right adjoint colinearity of  $\omega$  and a little algebra one shows that  $\nabla_\omega(\phi)$  satisfies the following coequivariance condition

$$\nabla_\omega(\phi)(v_{(1)}) \otimes S v_{(0)} = \Delta_{\Omega_{\text{un}}^1(P)}(\nabla_\omega(\phi)(v))$$

so that

$$\nabla_\omega : \text{Hom}^{\rho(n)}(V^{(n)}, \mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}^{\rho(n)}(V^{(n)}, \Omega_{\text{un}}^1(\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7))).$$

In fact, from Lemma 12 it follows that  $\nabla_\omega$  is a map from  $\text{Hom}^{\rho(n)}(V^{(n)}, \mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7))$  to  $\text{Hom}^{\rho(n)}(V^{(n)}, \mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)) \otimes \Omega_{\text{un}}^1(\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7))$ . This allows one to compare it to the Grassmannian connection of equation (30). It turns out that the connection one-form  $\omega$  coincides with the connection one-form  $A$  of equation (31), on the quotient  $\Omega^1(S_{\theta'}^7)$  of  $\Omega_{\text{un}}^1(\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7))$ . More precisely, let  $\{e_k^{(n)}\}$  be a basis of  $V^{(n)}$ , and  $e_{kl}^{(n)}$  the corresponding matrix coefficients of  $\mathcal{A}(SU(2))$  in the representation  $\rho(n)$ . An explicit expression for  $\omega(e_{kl}^{(n)})$  can be obtained from equations (59); for example  $\omega(e_{kl}^{(1)}) = \langle \psi_k | \delta\psi_l \rangle$ ,  $k, l = 1, 2$ .

By using these and formulæ (77)-(79), one shows that

$$\pi(\omega(e_{kl}^{(n)})) = A_{kl}^{(n)} = \langle \phi_k | d\phi_l \rangle,$$

where  $\pi : \Omega_{\text{un}}(\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)) \rightarrow \Omega(S_{\theta'}^7)$  is the quotient map.

## A Associated modules

We will review the classical construction of the instanton bundle on  $S^4$  [2] taking the approach of [24]. We generalize slightly and construct complex vector bundles on  $S^4$  associated to all finite-dimensional irreducible representations of  $SU(2)$ .

We start by recalling the Hopf fibration  $\pi : S^7 \rightarrow S^4$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} S^7 &:= \{z = (z^1, z^2, z^3, z^4) : |z^1|^2 + |z^2|^2 + |z^3|^2 + |z^4|^2 = 1\}, \\ S^4 &:= \{(\alpha, \beta, x) : \alpha\bar{\alpha} + \beta\bar{\beta} + x^2 = 1\}, \\ SU(2) &:= \{w \in GL(2, \mathbb{C}) : w^*w = ww^* = 1, \det w = 1\} \\ &= \left\{ w = \begin{pmatrix} w^1 & w^2 \\ -\bar{w}^2 & \bar{w}^1 \end{pmatrix} : w^1\bar{w}^1 + w^2\bar{w}^2 = 1 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

The space  $S^7$  carries a right  $SU(2)$ -action:

$$S^7 \times SU(2) \rightarrow S^7, \quad (z, w) \mapsto (z^1, z^2, z^3, z^4) \begin{pmatrix} w & 0 \\ 0 & w \end{pmatrix}. \quad (62)$$

The Hopf map is defined as a map  $\pi(z^1, z^2, z^3, z^4) \mapsto (\alpha, \beta, x)$  where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= 2(z^1\bar{z}^3 + z^2\bar{z}^4), \quad \beta = 2(-z^1z^4 + z^2z^3), \\ x &= z^1\bar{z}^1 + z^2\bar{z}^2 - z^3\bar{z}^3 - z^4\bar{z}^4, \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

and one computes  $\alpha\bar{\alpha} + \beta\bar{\beta} + x^2 = (\sum_i |z^i|^2)^2 = 1$ .

The finite-dimensional irreducible representations of  $SU(2)$  are labeled by a positive integer  $n$  with  $n+1$ -dimensional representation space  $V^{(n)} \simeq \text{Sym}^n(\mathbb{C}^2)$ . The space of smooth  $SU(2)$ -equivariant maps from  $S^7$  to  $V^{(n)}$  is defined by

$$C_{SU(2)}^\infty(S^7, V^{(n)}) := \{\phi : S^7 \rightarrow V^{(n)} : \phi(z \cdot w) = w^{-1} \cdot \phi(z)\}. \quad (64)$$

We will now construct projections  $p_{(n)}$  as  $N \times N$  matrices taking values in  $C^\infty(S^4)$ , such that  $\Gamma^\infty(S^4, E^{(n)}) := p_{(n)} C^\infty(S^4)^N$  is isomorphic to  $C_{SU(2)}^\infty(S^7, V^{(n)})$  as right  $C^\infty(S^4)$ -modules. As the notation suggests,  $E^{(n)}$  is the vector bundle over  $S^4$  associated with the corresponding representation. Let us first recall the case  $n=1$  from [24] and then use this to generate the vector bundles for any  $n$ . The  $SU(2)$ -equivariant maps from  $S^7$  to  $V^{(1)} \simeq \mathbb{C}^2$  are of the form

$$\phi_{(1)}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{z}^1 \\ \bar{z}^2 \end{pmatrix} f_1 + \begin{pmatrix} -z^2 \\ z^1 \end{pmatrix} f_2 + \begin{pmatrix} \bar{z}^3 \\ \bar{z}^4 \end{pmatrix} f_3 + \begin{pmatrix} -z^4 \\ z^3 \end{pmatrix} f_4, \quad (65)$$

where  $f_1, \dots, f_4$  are smooth functions that are invariant under the action of  $SU(2)$ , i.e. they are functions on the base space  $S^4$ .

A nice description of the equivariant maps is given in terms of ket-valued functions  $|\xi\rangle$  on  $S^7$ , which are then elements in the free module  $\mathcal{E} := \mathbb{C}^N \otimes C^\infty(S^7)$ . The  $C^\infty(S^7)$ -valued hermitian structure on  $\mathcal{E}$  given by  $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle = \sum_j \xi_j^* \eta_j$  allows one to associate dual elements  $\langle \xi | \in \mathcal{E}^*$  to each  $|\xi\rangle \in \mathcal{E}$  by  $\langle \xi |(\eta) := \langle \xi, \eta \rangle$ ,  $\forall \eta \in \mathcal{E}$ .

If we define  $|\psi_1\rangle, |\psi_2\rangle \in \mathcal{A}(S^7)^4$  by

$$|\psi_1\rangle = (z^1, -\bar{z}^2, z^3, -\bar{z}^4)^t; \quad |\psi_2\rangle = (z^2, \bar{z}^1, z^4, \bar{z}^3)^t, \quad (66)$$

with  $t$  denoting transposition, the equivariant maps in (65) are given by

$$\phi_{(1)}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \psi_1 | f \rangle \\ \langle \psi_2 | f \rangle \end{pmatrix}, \quad (67)$$

where  $|f\rangle \in (C^\infty(S^4))^4 := \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes C^\infty(S^4)$ . Since  $\langle \psi_k | \psi_l \rangle = \delta_{kl}$  as is easily seen, we can define a projection in  $M_4(C^\infty(S^4))$  by

$$p_{(1)} = |\psi_1\rangle\langle\psi_1| + |\psi_2\rangle\langle\psi_2|. \quad (68)$$

Indeed, by explicit computation we find a matrix with entries in  $C^\infty(S^4)$  which is the limit of the projection (22) for  $\theta = 0$ . Denoting the right  $C^\infty(S^4)$ -module  $p_{(1)}(C^\infty(S^4))^4$  by  $\Gamma(S^4, E^{(1)})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(S^4, E^{(1)}) &\simeq C_{SU(2)}^\infty(S^7, \mathbb{C}^2) \\ \sigma_{(1)} = p_{(1)}|f\rangle &\leftrightarrow \phi_{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \psi_1 | f \rangle \\ \langle \psi_2 | f \rangle \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

For the general case, we note that the  $SU(2)$ -equivariant maps from  $S^7$  to  $V^{(n)}$  are of the form

$$\phi_{(n)}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \phi_1 | f \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle \phi_{l+1} | f \rangle \end{pmatrix} \quad (70)$$

where  $|f\rangle \in C^\infty(S^4)^{4^n}$  and  $|\phi_k\rangle$  is the completely symmetrized form of the tensor product  $|\psi_1\rangle^{\otimes n-k+1} \otimes |\psi_2\rangle^{\otimes k-1}$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n+1$ , normalized to have norm 1 as in formula (28). For example, for the adjoint representation  $n = 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_1\rangle &:= |\psi_1\rangle \otimes |\psi_1\rangle, \\ |\phi_2\rangle &:= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\psi_1\rangle \otimes |\psi_2\rangle + |\psi_2\rangle \otimes |\psi_1\rangle), \\ |\phi_3\rangle &:= |\psi_2\rangle \otimes |\psi_2\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

Since in general,  $\langle \phi_k | \phi_l \rangle = \delta_{kl}$ , the matrix-valued function

$$p_{(n)} = |\phi_1\rangle\langle\phi_1| + |\phi_2\rangle\langle\phi_2| + \cdots + |\phi_{n+1}\rangle\langle\phi_{n+1}| \in M_{4^n}(C^\infty(S^4))$$

defines a projection whose entries are in  $C^\infty(S^4)$ , since each entry  $\sum_k |\phi_k\rangle_i \langle \phi_k|_j$  is  $SU(2)$ -invariant (cf. below formula (29)). We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} p_{(n)}(C^\infty(S^4)^{4^n}) &\simeq C_{SU(2)}^\infty(S^7, V^{(n)}) \\ \sigma_{(n)} = p_{(n)}|f\rangle &\leftrightarrow \phi_{(n)} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \phi_1 | f \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle \phi_{n+1} | f \rangle \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

## B Chern characters

We will compute the Chern characters of the projections  $p_{(n)}$  defined in Sect. 3. It turns out to be sufficient for our purposes to obtain expressions in the differential calculus  $\Omega_D(S_\theta^4) \subset \Omega_D(S_{\theta'}^7)$  defined in Sect. 3, which is a quotient of the universal differential calculus.

In the definition of the Chern character (36) we can replace the tensor product by the universal differential  $\delta$  by the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{A} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{\otimes p} \simeq \Omega_{\text{un}}^p(\mathcal{A}),$$

where  $\overline{\mathcal{A}} := \mathcal{A}/\mathbb{C}\mathbb{I}$  and  $\Omega_{\text{un}}(\mathcal{A}) = \bigoplus_p \Omega_{\text{un}}^p(\mathcal{A})$  is the universal differential algebra generated by  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and symbols  $\delta a$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  of order 1 satisfying

$$\delta(ab) = (\delta a)b + a\delta b \quad \delta(\alpha a + \beta b) = \alpha\delta a + \beta\delta b; \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}).$$

Then

$$\text{ch}_k(e) := (-1)^k \frac{(2k)!}{k!} \langle (e - \frac{1}{2})(\delta e)^{2k} \rangle \in \Omega_{\text{un}}^{2k}(\mathcal{A}). \quad (73)$$

We recall the differential calculi  $\Omega_D(S_\theta^4)$  and  $\Omega_D(S_{\theta'}^7)$  from Sect. 3. We defined  $\Omega_D(S_\theta^4)$  as the quotient of  $\Omega_{\text{un}}(\mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4))$  by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\delta\beta &= \lambda(\delta\beta)\alpha, & (\delta\alpha)\beta &= \lambda\beta\delta\alpha, \\ \alpha\delta\beta^* &= \bar{\lambda}(\delta\beta^*)\alpha, & (\delta\alpha^*)\beta &= \bar{\lambda}\beta\delta\alpha^*, \\ a\delta x &= (\delta x)a, & (a \in \mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4)). \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

The inclusion  $\mathcal{A}(S_\theta^4) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$  extends to an injective map  $\Omega_D(S_\theta^4) \rightarrow \Omega_D(S_{\theta'}^7)$ , where  $\Omega_D(S_{\theta'}^7)$  is the quotient of  $\Omega_{\text{un}}(\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7))$  by only the relations in (5) of order one, that is by the relations:

$$z^i \delta z^j = \lambda^{ij} (\delta z^j) z^i; \quad z^i \delta \bar{z}^j = \lambda^{ji} (\delta \bar{z}^j) z^i. \quad (75)$$

Recall that the projections  $p_{(n)}$  were defined by  $p_{(n)} = \sum_k |\phi_k\rangle\langle\phi_k|$ , where  $|\phi_k\rangle$  with  $k = 1, \dots, n+1$ , is given by

$$|\phi_k\rangle = \frac{1}{a_k} |\psi_1\rangle^{\otimes(n-k+1)} \otimes_S |\psi_2\rangle^{\otimes(k-1)}, \quad a_k^2 = \binom{n}{k-1}. \quad (76)$$

Before we start the computation of the Chern characters, we state the computation rules in  $\Omega_D(S_{\theta'}^7)$ . Firstly, from the very definition of the vectors  $|\phi_k\rangle$  and the inner product in  $\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{E}$ , we can express, for any  $k = 1, \dots, n+1$ ,

$$\langle \phi_k | \delta \phi_{k-1} \rangle = \sqrt{(n-k)(k+1)} \langle \psi_2 | \delta \psi_1 \rangle \quad (77)$$

$$\langle \phi_k | \delta \phi_{k+1} \rangle = \sqrt{(n-k-1)(k+2)} \langle \psi_1 | \delta \psi_2 \rangle \quad (78)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_k | \delta \phi_k \rangle &= (n-k-1) \langle \psi_1 | \delta \psi_1 \rangle + (k+1) \langle \psi_2 | \delta \psi_2 \rangle \\ &= (n-2k-2) \langle \psi_1 | \delta \psi_1 \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

by using the relation  $\langle \psi_2 | \delta \psi_2 \rangle = -\langle \psi_1 | \delta \psi_1 \rangle$ . The previous are in fact the only nonzero expressions for  $\langle \phi_k | \delta \phi_l \rangle$ . If we apply  $\delta$  to these equations, we obtain expressions for  $\langle \delta \phi_k | \delta \phi_l \rangle$  in terms of  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$ . From this, we deduce the following result that will be central in the computation of the Chern characters.

**Lemma 14.** *The following relations hold in  $\Omega_D(S_{\theta'}^7)$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k,l=1}^{n+1} \langle \phi_k | \delta \phi_l \rangle \langle \phi_l | \delta \phi_k \rangle &= \frac{1}{6} n(n+1)(n+2) \sum_{r,s=1}^2 \langle \psi_r | \delta \psi_s \rangle \langle \psi_s | \delta \psi_r \rangle, \\ \sum_{k,l,m=1}^{n+1} \langle \phi_k | \delta \phi_l \rangle \langle \phi_l | \delta \phi_m \rangle \langle \phi_m | \delta \phi_k \rangle &= \frac{1}{6} n(n+1)(n+2) \sum_{r,s,t=1}^2 \langle \psi_r | \delta \psi_s \rangle \langle \psi_s | \delta \psi_t \rangle \langle \psi_t | \delta \psi_r \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Of course, there will be similar formulæ for  $\langle \delta \phi_k | \delta \phi_l \rangle \langle \phi_l | \delta \phi_k \rangle$ , etc.

The zeroeth Chern character is easy to compute:

$$\text{ch}_0(p_{(n)}) = \text{Tr}(p_{(n)}) = \sum_k \langle \phi_k | \phi_k \rangle = n + 1. \quad (80)$$

In the computation of  $\text{ch}_1(p_{(n)})$  we use the relation  $\langle \delta \phi_k | \phi_l \rangle = -\langle \phi_k | \delta \phi_l \rangle$ , which follows from applying the derivation  $\delta$  to  $\langle \phi_k | \phi_l \rangle = \delta_{kl}$  and the fact that in  $\Omega_D(S_{\theta'}^7)$ ,  $\langle \phi_k | \delta \phi_l \rangle$  commutes with any element in  $\mathcal{A}(S_{\theta'}^7)$ , in particular with  $\langle \phi_m |_i$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}_1(p) &= \sum |\phi_k\rangle \langle \phi_k | \delta \phi_l \rangle \langle \phi_l | \delta \phi_m \rangle \langle \phi_m | + \sum |\phi_k\rangle \langle \delta \phi_k | \delta \phi_m \rangle \langle \phi_m | \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum \left( |\delta \phi_k\rangle \langle \phi_k | \delta \phi_l \rangle \langle \phi_l | + |\delta \phi_k\rangle \langle \delta \phi_k | + |\phi_k\rangle \langle \delta \phi_k | \delta \phi_l \rangle \langle \phi_l | + |\phi_k\rangle \langle \delta \phi_k | \phi_l \rangle \langle \delta \phi_l | \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{n+1} (\langle \delta \phi_m | \delta \phi_m \rangle - |\delta \phi_m\rangle \langle \delta \phi_m |) \end{aligned}$$

By using equation (79) and its analogue for  $|\delta \phi_m\rangle \langle \delta \phi_m|$ ,  $m = 1, \dots, n+1$ ,

$$|\delta \phi_m\rangle \langle \delta \phi_m| = (k+1)\langle \psi_1 | \delta \psi_1 \rangle + (n-k-1)\langle \psi_2 | \delta \psi_2 \rangle,$$

we find that

$$\text{ch}_1(p_{(n)}) = \frac{1}{2} n(n+1) (\langle \psi_1 | \delta \psi_1 \rangle + \langle \psi_2 | \delta \psi_2 \rangle) = \frac{1}{2} n(n+1) \text{ch}_1(p_{(1)}). \quad (81)$$

Note that this equation holds in the differential subalgebra  $\Omega_D(S_{\theta}^4)$ . Since  $\text{ch}_1(p_{(1)})$  was shown to vanish in [11], we proved the vanishing of the first Chern character in  $\Omega_D(S_{\theta}^4)$ . The vanishing of  $\text{ch}_1(p_{(1)})$  can also be seen from the explicit form of  $|\psi_1\rangle$  and  $|\psi_2\rangle$ .

A slightly more involved computation in  $\Omega_D(S_{\theta'}^7)$  shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}_2(p_{(n)}) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum \left\{ \delta(\langle \phi_k | \delta \phi_l \rangle \langle \phi_l | \delta \phi_m \rangle \langle \phi_m | \delta \phi_k \rangle) + \langle \delta \phi_k | \delta \phi_l \rangle \langle \phi_l | \delta \phi_m \rangle \langle \phi_m | \delta \phi_k \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \langle \delta \phi_k | \delta \phi_l \rangle \langle \delta \phi_l | \delta \phi_k \rangle + \delta(\langle \delta \phi_k | \delta \phi_l \rangle \langle \phi_l | \delta \phi_k \rangle) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

And by using Lemma 14 we finally get

$$\text{ch}_2(p_{(n)}) = \frac{1}{6} n(n+1)(n+2) \text{ch}_2(p_{(1)}), \quad (83)$$

as an element in  $\Omega_D^4(S_{\theta}^4)$ .

## Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Tomasz Brzeziński, Ludwik Dąbrowski, Piotr M. Hajac, Cesare Reina, Chiara Pagani for very useful discussions.

## References

- [1] P. Aschieri and F. Bonechi. On the noncommutative geometry of twisted spheres. *Lett. Math. Phys.* 59 (2002) 133-156.
- [2] M. F. Atiyah. *The Geometry of Yang-Mills Fields*. Fermi Lectures. Scuola Normale Pisa, 1979.
- [3] M. F. Atiyah, N. J. Hitchin, V. G. Drinfeld and Yu. I. Manin. Construction of instantons. *Phys. Lett.* A65 (1978) 185-187.
- [4] F. Bonechi, N. Ciccoli, L. Dąbrowski and M. Tarlini. Bijectivity of the canonical map for the noncommutative instanton bundle. *J. Geom. Phys.* 51 (2004) 419-432.
- [5] T. Brzeziński, L. Dąbrowski and B. Zielinski. Hopf fibration and monopole connection over the contact quantum spheres. *J. Geom. Phys.* 51 (2004) 71-81.
- [6] T. Brzeziński and P. M. Hajac. The Chern-Galois character. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I* 338 (2004) 113-116.
- [7] T. Brzeziński and S. Majid. Quantum group gauge theory on quantum spaces. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 157 (1993) 591-638.
- [8] S. Chern and X. Hu. Equivariant Chern character for the invariant Dirac operator. *Michigan Math. J.* 44 (1997) 451-473.
- [9] A. Connes. *Noncommutative Geometry*. Academic Press, San Diego, 1994.
- [10] A. Connes and M. Dubois-Violette. Noncommutative finite-dimensional manifolds. I. Spherical manifolds and related examples. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 230 (2002) 539-579.
- [11] A. Connes and G. Landi. Noncommutative manifolds: The instanton algebra and isospectral deformations. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 221 (2001) 141-159.
- [12] A. Connes and H. Moscovici. The local index formula in noncommutative geometry. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 5 (1995) 174-243.
- [13] L. Dąbrowski, H. Grosse and P. M. Hajac. Strong connections and Chern-Connes pairing in the Hopf-Galois theory. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 220 (2001) 301-331.
- [14] L. Dąbrowski, P. M. Hajac and P. Siniscalco. Explicit Hopf-Galois description of  $SL_{e^{2\pi i/3}}(2)$ -induced Frobenius homomorphisms. In D. Kastler et. al., editors, *Enlarged Proceedings of the ISI GUCCIA Workshop on Quantum Groups, Noncommutative Geometry and Fundamental Physical Interactions*. Nova Science Pub., Commack-NY, 1999, pp. 279-298.

- [15] M. Dubois-Violette, *Equations de Yang et Mills, modèles  $\sigma$  à deux dimensions et généralisation*, in ‘*Mathématique et Physique*’, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 37, Birkhäuser 1983, pp. 43-64.
- M. Dubois-Violette and Y. Georgelin, *Gauge Theory in Terms of Projector Valued Fields*, Phys. Lett. 82B (1979) 251-254.
- [16] M. Durdevich. Geometry of quantum principal bundles I. Commun. Math. Phys. 175 (1996) 427-521.  
M. Durdevich. Geometry of quantum principal bundles II. Rev. Math. Phys. 9 (1997) 531-607.
- [17] J. M. Gracia-Bondía, J. C. Várilly and H. Figueroa. *Elements of Noncommutative Geometry*. Birkhäuser, Boston, 2001.
- [18] P. M. Hajac. Strong connections on quantum principal bundles. Commun. Math. Phys. 182 (1996) 579–617.
- [19] P. M. Hajac and S. Majid. Projective module description of the  $q$ -monopole. Commun. Math. Phys. 206 (1999) 247-264.
- [20] P. M. Hajac, R. Matthes and W. Szymański. A locally trivial quantum Hopf fibration. arXiv:math.QA/0112317, to appear in Algebra and Representation Theory.
- [21] H. F. Kreimer and M. Takeuchi. Hopf algebras and Galois extensions of an algebra. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 30 (1981) 675-692.
- [22] T. Y. Lam. *Lectures on modules and rings*. Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1999
- [23] G. Landi. *An Introduction to Noncommutative Spaces and their Geometry*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1997.
- [24] G. Landi. Deconstructing monopoles and instantons. Rev. Math. Phys. 12 (2000) 1367-1390.
- [25] G. Landi, talk at the Mini-workshop on *Noncommutative Geometry Between Mathematics and Physics*, Ancona, February 23-24, 2001.
- [26] G. Landi and W. van Suijlekom. In preparation.
- [27] J.-L. Loday. *Cyclic Homology*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [28] S. Montgomery. *Hopf algebras and their actions on rings*. AMS, 1993.
- [29] M. A. Rieffel. Non-commutative tori - A case study of non-commutative differentiable manifolds. Contemp. Math. 105 (1990) 191-212.
- [30] P. Schauenburg and H.-J. Schneider. Galois type extensions and Hopf algebras. To be published.
- [31] H.-J. Schneider. Principal homogeneous spaces for arbitrary Hopf algebras. Israel J. Math. 72 (1990) 167-195.